Special Relativity - Fourth Problem Set 3-11 Addition of Velocities Before Taunching into this problem, it is important to make sure we know the definition of velocity, which is thankfully reasonably simple: $V_{\chi} \equiv \frac{4\chi}{4t}$ (contrast with speed $S = |V_{\chi}|$) If there is motion along more than one axis, there is also $V_y = \Delta Y$ and $V_z = \Delta Z$ (speed $S = \sqrt{V_z^2 V_z^2 V_z^2}$) If the particle is moving steadily (with no acceleration), it doesn't matter how long an elapsed time you use, because the distance traveled in any direction is proportional to the time. However, it the particle is accelerating, the velocity is changing, and you have to use as short a time Dt as possible to get an accurate estimate of the velocity.

We capture the idea of "as short a time as possible" by writing $V_{\chi} \equiv \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \frac{\Delta \chi}{\Delta t}$ (and similarly for $V_{\chi} \equiv \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \frac{\Delta \chi}{\Delta t}$ (and similarly for V_{χ} and V_{χ}) Cread this as "the limit as At goes to zero" (a) We need a quality diagram Before Eugen Speed Viel I'ght at 0000 speed Viel All Vat L is the Vat L is the Ver Dt+L L is the Vrei Dt+L L is the Ly is the Ear Frame-this math After bus in the Earth frame - this matters Now the pulse is light, and light always goes the speed of light, so it must be that $V_{rel} \Delta t + L = c \Delta t$ In our crafty units, c=1, and also, the authors called Δt , $t_{forward}$, so we have Vreitforward + L = tforward or which is what we were asked to show tforward = 1- Vrei

(6) Before JFLK Tight reflects 0000 Tight arrives at Fred Fred moves forward by an amount Vrel tbackward Now light goes c thackward in a time thackward (and c=1) so $fL - V_{rel} t_{backward} = ct_{backward} = t_{backward}$ or $t_{backward} = fL$ $I + V_{rel}$ (c) Net distance traveled by light Flash is ctforward - ctbackward = tforward - thackward Meanwhile, the whole time, the bullet has been traveling forward with speed Vollet, so it has traveled (forward toackward) Vollet

(c) (contb) By assumption, they meet at Fred, so we must have tforward - tbackward = (tforward + tbackward) Vbullet or torward (I-Vbullet) = tbackward (It Vbullet) (d) Use parts (a) and (b) to get rid of tforward and tbackward in the equation in (c): $\frac{L}{1-V_{re1}}\left(1-V_{bullet}\right) = \frac{fL}{1+V_{re1}}\left(1+V_{bullet}\right)$ Cancel the L's and solve for f $f = \frac{1 - V_{bullet}}{1 - V_{rel}} \frac{1 + V_{rel}}{1 + V_{bullet}}$ (e) Repeat all of (a)-(d) but in the space bus frame. In the spacebus frame Vrel = O. However Vbullet has some new and different value, so we need a name for it: call it Voulet. So we get a very similar result for f: $f = \frac{I - V_{\text{Sullet}}}{I + V_{\text{Sullet}}}$

(f) Equate the two expressions for f $\frac{1 - V_{bullet}}{1 - V_{rel}} \frac{1 + V_{rel}}{1 + V_{bullet}} = \frac{1 - V_{bullet}}{1 + V_{bullet}}$ It seems like we are getting something for nothing: Amazing. Keep going. Solve for Voullet (1+Voullet) 1-Voullet 1+Vrel = 1-Voullet (1+Voullet) 1-Vrel 1+Voullet or goop, 1-Voullet 1+Vrel $V_{bullet} = \frac{1-goop}{1+goop} = \frac{1-\frac{1-V_{bullet}}{1-V_{rel}}}{\frac{1+V_{bullet}}{1+V_{bullet}}}$ $= (1 - V_{re1})(1 + V_{bullet}) - (1 + V_{re1})(1 - V_{bullet})$ (1 - Vrel) (1+ Vbullet) + (1+ Vrel) (1 - Vbullet) - 1-Vre1 + V3v14t - Vre1 Vbv11et - (1+ Vre1 - Vbv11et - Vre1 Vbv11et) + = Z(Vbullet-Vrel) _ Vbullet-Vrel Z-ZVrel Vbullet I-Vbullet Vrel Those rascals solved for Voullet, but I solved for $V_{bullet} \cdot I_{t}$ is easy to get theirs from mine without more algebra. Just exchange primed with unprimed values $V_{bullet} = \frac{V_{bullet} - V_{rei}}{1 - V_{bullet} V_{rei}}$ motion of Earth in bus from e So $V_{bullet} = \frac{V_{bullet} + V_{rel}}{1 + V_{bullet} + V_{rel}}$

 $V_{rel} = 108 \frac{km}{hr} = \frac{108000 m}{3600 s} = 30 \frac{m}{s}$ (g) (1) $= \frac{30 \frac{m}{s}}{3 \times 10^8 \frac{m}{s}} = 10^{-7} \text{ in craffy units}$ $V_{3 \cup 10} = 600 \frac{m}{s} = \frac{600 \frac{m}{s}}{3 \times 10^8 \frac{m}{s}} = 2 \times 10^{-6}$ $1 \neq V_{rel} V_{sullet} = 1 \neq 10^{-13} \cdot 2x10^{-6} = 1 \neq 2x10^{-13}$ My calculator can't even tell this as different from 1 (if it has 10-12 sig figs). So pretending to Vbullet = Vbullet + Vrel That's the Newtonian result. Velocities just add. just add. $V_{rel} = \frac{3}{4} \qquad 1 \neq \frac{3}{4} = \frac{3}{4} \qquad 1 \neq \frac{3}{4} = \frac{3}{4} \qquad 1 \neq \frac{9}{16} \qquad 16 \neq 9$ $V_{svllet} = \frac{3}{4} \qquad = \frac{24}{24} = 0.96 \qquad (mot l)$ $=\frac{24}{25}=0.96$ (not 1.5.) (3) Bullet going light-speed $\frac{3}{1+\frac{3}{4}} = 1 \leftarrow also speed \qquad also speed \qquad also speed \qquad according to a$ $V_{rel} = \frac{3}{4}$ Vsullet=1

(4) Bullet going backward at light-speed Vrel=3 Vbullet = -1 $\frac{V_{\text{Sullet + Vrel}}}{1 + V_{\text{Sullet Vrel}}} = \frac{-1 + \frac{3}{4}}{1 + (-1) \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)} = -1$ Vsullet = I don't usually look for discussion in problem solutions. However, how did we get something for nothing !? We analyzed the bullet motion in two frames. We got a result that is caused by both length contraction and time dilation. Our solution (getting Vollet in terms of Vollet) never had the VI-Vizi length contraction nor the ______ time dilation as intermediate results.

3-12 The Michelson - Morley Experiment (a) Lound trip time for plane. Part (a) is non-relativistic reasoning. But to get us anticipating relativity, call the airplane's air speed c. The headwind blowing from B to A has speed V. V Don't use relativitistic addition of speeds for this part. A B C going against the wind, plane's ground speed Assume the distance is d. The plane needs to go a total distance (relative to the ground) of Zd. The first leg of the trip takes $\frac{d}{c-v}$. The second leg takes $\frac{d}{c+v}$. 21 If the air were still, the time would be z. Comprte the ratio $\frac{\lambda}{c-v} + \frac{\lambda}{c+v} = \frac{c+v+(c-v)}{(c+v)(c-v)\frac{z}{c}}$ $= \frac{2\lambda}{c} = \frac{1}{(c+v)(c-v)\frac{z}{c}}$ $= \frac{1}{(c^2-v^2)\frac{z}{c}} + \frac{(c^2-v^2)\frac{1}{c^2}}{(c^2-v^2)\frac{1}{c^2}} + \frac{1}{1-\frac{v^2}{c^2}}$

(b) the plane cannot fly straight c c if it did it would arrive downwind of c AV So the diagram must look like this: c oops! ct A Crt i/ct what is the side labeled ?. Well yes, it is d, but what else is it? Aha, by the Pythagorean Theoren it is $\sqrt{z^2t^2-v^2t^2}$. So d= Vc2+2-v2+2'= tVc2-v2l $t = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{c^2 - v^2}}$, and the same on the return trip. So Compute the ratio of the round-trip time going perpendicular to the wind to the round-trip time in still air: $\frac{\overline{f} \cdot \overline{v_{c^2 - v_2}}}{\overline{f} \cdot \overline{c}} = \frac{c}{\sqrt{c^2 - v_2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - v_1^2/c^2}}$

(c) The one going perpendicularly will arrive home first, because 1- 2 is a number less than 1 and VI-vZ/cz' is not as much less than 1. So $\frac{1}{1-v^2/c^2}$ is more than $\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-v^2/c^2}}$ If $\frac{V}{c}$ (1, then $\frac{V^2}{c^2}$ (1. We can use the binomial expansion $(a+b)^{n} = a^{n} + n a^{n-1}b$ with a=1 $b=-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{c^{2}}$ and n is $\begin{cases} -1 \text{ for } \frac{1}{1-\sqrt{2}/c^{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \text{ for } \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\sqrt{2}/c^{2}}} \end{cases}$ So our approximation for the result in part (a) is $1+(-1)(-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{c^{2}})$ $= 1+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{c^{2}}$ And our approximation for the result in part (b) is $1+(-\frac{1}{2})(-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{c^{2}})$ $= 1+\frac{2}{\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{c^{2}}$ The difference is $\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{2}{c^2}}$ The difference in the round-trip travel time is $\frac{Zd}{Z}\left(\frac{1}{Z}\frac{v^2}{c^2}\right)$ The authors let L = Zd, and this is what they call Δt , so $\Delta t = \frac{L}{c} \frac{1}{z} \frac{v^2}{c^2} \text{ and we agree.}$

(d) The fussbudget has $\Delta t = 4 sec$ L = 600 km $C = 300 \frac{km}{hr}$ The discrepancy can be explained by a wind going either with or against the slowest planes (and perpendicular to the fastest planes. The speed of the wind is given by $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{C^{2}} = \frac{Z_{C} \Delta t}{L} = \frac{Z_{C} 300 \frac{km}{h}}{600 km} \frac{4se}{14r} = \frac{4sec}{14r} = \frac{1}{900}$ or $\frac{V}{c} = \frac{1}{30}$ or $\frac{V}{30} = \frac{1}{30} \frac{km}{4r} = 10 \frac{km}{4r}$ (e) Michelson and Morley have $\Delta t = \frac{L}{c} \frac{1}{z} \frac{v^2}{c^2} = \frac{ZZM}{3 \times 10^8 M_s} \frac{1}{z} \cdot 10^{-8}$ $= \frac{1}{3} \times 10^{-16} \, \text{s} \approx 3.7 \times 10^{-16} \, \text{s} \approx 4 \times 10^{-4} \, \text{ps}$ Even if time can be measured to picaseconds, that is still more than 1000 times smaller (0.4 femtoseconds).

(f) f = c and f = - $\Rightarrow \frac{\lambda}{\tau} = c \Rightarrow \tau = \frac{\lambda}{z}$ Plug in 7=589mm ~ 600 × 10⁻⁹m and $c = 3 \times 10^8 m/s$ $T \approx \frac{600 \times 10^{-9} m}{3 \times 10^8 m/s} = 200 \times 10^{-17} s = 2 \times 10^{-15} s$ They apparently could do 100 times this well. So they could detect $\Delta t = 2 \times 10^{-17} \text{ s}$ The Earth's notion results in an expected at of 4×10-165, so they are 40 times as sensitive as needed to detect ether flowing at Earth's arbital speed. Since the effect is proportional to V2 they actually can detect v to of the orbital speed. They saw nothing. -> Here is no ether drift -> the speed of light is independent of the motion of the observer. (g) Discussion: is there a way to evade the conclusion in (f)?